



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/582,764

06/13/2006

Dominique David

292034US2PCT

6673

22850

7590

03/04/2008

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.  
1940 DUKE STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

NGHIEM, MICHAEL P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2863

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/04/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com



## **DETAILED ACTION**

The Amendment filed on December 11, 2007 has been acknowledged.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 19, "the thus measured acceleration of gravity is suppressed to determine the acceleration of the solid" is not described in the original disclosure.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Art Unit: 2863

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Foxlin (US 5,645,077).

Regarding claim 10, Foxlin discloses a method (Fig. 1) for measuring movement of a solid, in which at least one first translation (orientation, Abstract, line 2) of the solid is measured (Abstract, lines 1-4), the method comprising:

- a series of measuring acceleration of the solid (Abstract, lines 4-6) and making double integration of the measurements, to obtain successive values of the first translation (column 2, lines 39-41; column 6, line 49);
- a series of absolute measurement of at least one second degree of freedom of the solid, the second degree of freedom being a rotation, by at least one rotation sensor (via rotational sensors, Abstract, lines 4-5);
- converting the measurement of rotation (angular rate, column 3, lines 64-65) into a measurement of translation (orientation signals, column 3, line 63) (column 3, lines 63-65); and
- using the translation measurement to update the first translation (orientation signals update orientation of body, column 3, lines 54-61).

Regarding claim 11, Foxlin discloses the measurement of the second degree of freedom is used as an initial condition to obtain by double integration a value of the first

Art Unit: 2863

translation that follows previously obtained values of the first translation (column 2, lines 36-41).

Regarding claim 12, Foxlin discloses each absolute measurement is made at a same time as a measurement of the acceleration of the solid (rotational acceleration, Abstract, lines 4-6).

Regarding claim 13, Foxlin discloses the converting the measurement of rotation into a measurement of translation uses kinetic models of the solid and/or of movement of the solid (simulate motions, column 15, lines 15-17), enabling determination of relationships between the rotation and translation (orientation signal corresponds to rotation, column 4, lines 38-39).

Regarding claim 14, Foxlin discloses the rotation sensor is chosen from among accelerometers and magnetometers (acceleration sensor, Abstract, lines 4-5).

Regarding claim 15, Foxlin discloses the first translation is measured using a translation sensor that is also the rotation sensor (rotational sensor, Abstract, lines 4-5).

***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 16-18 are allowed.

### ***Reasons For Allowance***

The **combination** as claimed wherein a criterion of slowness of movement is chosen, and if the movement meets this criterion after one of the measurements of the second degree of freedom, the measurement of the second degree of freedom obtained is used to update the first translation (claim 16) is not disclosed, suggested, or made obvious by the prior art of record.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed on December 11, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the 35 USC 102 rejections, Applicants argue that Foxlin does not teach or suggest converting a measurement of rotation into a measurement of translation and using a translation measurement to update a first translation. In Foxlin, the angular rate is not converted to a translation and used to update a first translation but, rather, a corresponding orientation signal relative to the external frame is generated from the angular rate.

Examiner's position is that, as acknowledged by Applicants, Foxlin discloses "a corresponding orientation signal relative to the external frame (column 3, lines 63-64) is

generated from the angular rate (column 3, lines 64-65)". The orientation signal can represent a translation measurement because both the terms "orientation" and "translation" have meanings related to movement (see Answers.com Dictionary). Foxlin defines "orientation" as a movement, "a rotational alignment relative to an external reference frame" (column 2, lines 4-6). Thus, Foxlin discloses converting the measurement of rotation (angular rate, column 3, lines 64-65) into a measurement of translation (orientation signals, column 3, line 63) (column 3, lines 63-65). Further, as discussed above, Foxlin discloses using the translation measurement to update the first translation (orientation signals update orientation of body, column 3, lines 54-61).

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

***Contact Information***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Nghiem whose telephone number is (571) 272-2277. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Michael P. Nghiem/

Primary Examiner, GAU 2863

February 20, 2008